



[Search the web for Travel](#)

VOMIT UK 51/00

Victims Of Masonic III-Treatment 16 December 2000

Anyone criticised or maligned in these publications has a guaranteed right of reply. JMF or P2 Lodge UK stands for the hierarchies of the Judaeo/Masonic Faction. The ordinary Mason and Jew are as likely to suffer from the machinations of the JMF as non-Masons and non-Jews are. Almost every institution in the UK is corrupt.

While the final blame lies with the Member of Parliament and the Prime Minister, Freemasonry is invariably involved along with the courts, the police and local government. Everything we publish is aimed at the detection and prevention of crime especially in the public sector. We act in the public interest.

PRISONER ALBERT DRYDEN

Mr Dryden's address is now CK 0635 Albert Dryden, H M Prison Nottingham, Perry Road, Sherwood, NOTTINGHAM NG5 3AG. Spare a thought for this man who has had a very raw deal.

PROTECTION FROM HARASSMENT ACT 1997

[See <http://www.hmsa.gov.uk/acts/acts1997/1997040.htm>]

This Act has been used unlawfully by the police to stifle criticism especially of Freemasonry. Michael Croley was a victim of Dorset Police and Maurice Kellett was a victim of Northumbria and of Durham City police. VOMIT was to be prosecuted by Chief Constable Pollard of Thames Valley Police on the basis of a complaint by some twat named John Hearn who is presumably associated with the neighbouring cocaine site.

The latest judgement shows that H Hearn & Son and Hellmann Parcel Systems (a subsidiary of Hellmann International Forwarders) occupiers of the adjacent cocaine site, {stolen from the Combined Charities Trust} have harassed us daily for over two years by, inter alia, (a) creating heavy traffic from before 6 a.m. until after 8 p.m. (b) making a malicious complaint to the police (c) permitting vehicles to use the public footpath alongside our premises to travel at dangerous speeds (d) requiring us to put gates to our yard to keep visiting vehicles from using it (e) generally rendering our premises unusable. Both companies have benefited from widespread corruption in Chiltern District Council, the Environment Agency, the Environment Agency Board and Prescott's transport crooks. For more than 12 years Thames Valley Police have harassed us because we were blowing the whistle on corruption.

Genuine harassment is always harmful to individuals who can successfully claim compensation against the guilty party. Under the Act one cannot harass a company but a company or its servants can harass an individual. A recent judgement (Times Law Report of 15 November 2000) by Mr Justice Douglas Brown established the headings under which harassment can occur. In order to do so Douglas Brown had to study the Parliamentary record of the speeches related to the act. **The Act was directed at stalking, anti-social behaviour by neighbours and racial harassment.**

We again draw attention to the heavy-handed and life-threatening raids by policemen between August and November 1999 against Norman Scarth in Leeds, Maurice Kellett in Northumbria, Peter and Pat Prankerd in Cornwall, Peter Hayward in Kent and James Todd in Thames Valley. Blair is the absolute authority. He is allowing police forces and police authorities to get away with murder.

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTRE

The address of the ELC is Hollibury House, PO Box 267, SOUTHPORT, Merseyside PR8 1WD. Its fax number is 01704 549091 and phone number 01704 547418. Email info@elc.org.uk

Last week we gave this organization free publicity while making it plain that we do not recommend any donations to charities. Someone giving his name as "Peter Hayward" has taken us to task for suggesting that ELC might not be perfect. Mr. Hayward has extolled the virtues of ELC at length with the obvious intention of persuading us to print his report to atone for something we did not publish. The ELC is no doubt the greatest thing since the invention of the wheel but Mr. Hayward misses the point. One swallow does not make a summer.

We have many years of experience of charities and have had to conclude that the Charity Commission is dominated by Masons and is corrupt. It facilitated the creation of a cocaine distribution centre by not taking action on the frauds committed against the Combined Charities Trust. There is no way that it is going to take action against the charity parasites because that would undermine the concept of giving freely to worthy causes. Every charity is a target for unscrupulous people who milk the charities dry. A few days ago the auditors criticized the National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children because more money was spent on "organisation" than on children. If the Charity Commission made sure that such crooks were prosecuted charities would not provide a soft touch for people who are incapable of earning an honest living.

Perhaps Peter will now provide us with details of how the non-charity, Litigants In Person Society, obtains funds. Does it borrow money or rely solely on its percentages from successful litigation?

CENSORSHIP

----- Original Message ----- **From:** [Muluken \(Luke\)](#) **To:** VOMIT **Sent:** Thursday, November 30, 2000 6:20 PM **Subject:** vomit.cc Reply dated 30 November 2000.

<<<After further discussion regarding www.vomit.cc we have decided that we will only take down your site when we receive a court writ or summons naming the relevant parties to appear before a court of competent jurisdiction to adjudicate this dispute.

Luke Fantaye Operations Manager NetNation Communications.>>>

<<<My dear Luke,

I thank you for your email.

If there is a dispute it is between me and Netnation and not between me and the Chief Constable of Dorset.

I am informed that it is unsafe to email credit card details. Can you let me have a URL, please?

We know the lengths that the UK government goes to in order to silence criticism and we believe that you were being pressurised by your authorities. This is why it is important that you send me a copy of the letter of complaint. I have to ask you to send it by gif, jpeg, bmp or similar. My belief is that it is in your best interests to provide answers.

Sincere good wishes. James (M Todd). >>>

Comment:- To date we have had no response from Netnation either about money or about the letter of complaint allegedly sent by the head of Dorset County Council legal department on behalf of Chief Constable Stichbury. She had done nothing about serious allegations made publicly against her for more than one year. Her force was so riddled with corrupt Freemasonry that she had an impossible task. Rumour has it that she is moving from Dorset presumably to spend more time with her family.

"Treat all Irish as suspects, police ordered". (The Observer, page 4, 10.XII.00)

British police have been secretly ordered to treat all Irish-born citizens on their patch as potential terrorist suspects according to a memo leaked to the Observer.

No need for a memo! Blacks and Irish have been singled out by the police for decades with the result that there have been many miscarriages of justice and the police and the courts have become more thoroughly discredited. We would claim that our victims have suffered exactly the same injustice as the Irish and the ethnic minorities.

As we have shown not all Irish are treated equally. There is the Irish public works Mafia working hand in glove with MI5 and the Masons and reporting on Irish citizens or making them turncoats. Is it any wonder that the IRA is keeping its powder dry and retaining its guns? The enemies of the IRA are our enemies. We refer to a corrupt government, a corrupt judiciary and a corrupt police force. We do not believe in violence but understand why the Irish Nationalists had to resort to violence. Violence may be required on the UK mainland too.

Note that the government has now given the go ahead for new road developments. New roads have been a real money earner for the crooks since the days of Ernest Marples. Motorway construction was probably the thing that balanced the Irish budget just as supermarkets balance the Israeli budget.

A REAL IRA THREAT? - The message below is as received. The headers are normally hidden. What the headers show is that the mail came through manicmail.net whose internet host is Global Gold - a UK company apparently assisting a "terrorist organisation".

<<< Date: Wed, 6 Dec 2000 07:29:51 GMT To: vomituk@my-deja.com

From: freedom@real-ira.com Subject: Green Emeralds

We are unhappy with your insinuations aimed at our organisation and countrymen.

We will be calling on you in the near future to discuss these matters. >>>

Comment: This message is clearly not from the Real IRA. It merely confirms what we have been saying about the Irish Uncle Toms who are working with MI5 and the crap Masons in the UK.

LETTER MAURICE KELLETT

Date: Sun, 3 Dec 2000 23:15:23 +0000

To: VOMIT From: Justice <Justice@jiwalu.demon.co.uk>

Subject: **Filth Of The Year [unedited]**

I would like to nominate Chief Constable of Dorset Constabulary, Jane Stichbury as filth of the year. She has ignored requests by Mike Croley, a victim of good old British injustice, to hear his allegations against the four Davenport brothers who are officers within Dorset Constabulary. Three of the brothers are known masons. Constable G.A.Davenport is a member of lodge 8315 and 707. Several Dorset magistrates are also members of these lodges. In the BBC TV program "Southern Eye" several Councillors who had failed to declare interests were exposed. Some of them also belong to lodges 8315 and 707. Constables S.G. and W.R. Davenport are members of lodge 8726. Mike Croley claims that he was stitched up when he criticised the conduct of a firm of solicitors. Don't be part of the stichup Mrs Stichbury or in time you will become known as Mrs Stichup. Then you will be replaced to satisfy public concern. Hearing Mike Croley's allegations is part of your paid duty. Huge numbers of solicitors are known to be masons. Most Chief Constables are also masons. The British justice system is riddled with masons all sworn to protect one another regardless of who gets hurt in the process. Read about what Northumbria Police carried out against their own warrants officer Jim Richardson on <http://www.jiwalu.demon.co.uk>. He had started to blow the whistle on corrupt practices within that force. Jim intends setting up his own web site to publicise his case. If you are not bent you can easily become a victim of bent authority. Jim's story backs up this fact. The whole justice system is out of control with corruption and urgent remedial action is required. Membership of Freemasonry within the establishment is now the greatest public enemy. I contributed to the Nolan Enquiry into Freemasonry within the police and judiciary. I am now satisfied that it was nothing short of a whitewash.

Maurice Kellett.

LETTER MAJOR JACK

Re Charles Beresford [Unedited]

Interesting. In Scotland the Accounts Commission (now Audit Scotland) published Annual Reports with a review of where local councils accounts had gone wrong I reviewed these statistics and found that of the 32 local councils, 19 are audited by the Accounts Commission the remainder, normally the smaller and more remote authorities, are audited by independent auditors. Interestingly 38% of the independent auditors found specific issues, notable enough to mention in the Reports, but

only 5% of accounts commission auditors found accounting issues deemed worth reporting. I raised this point with Mr Black, the then controller of Audit, now the Auditor General for Scotland who has no auditing qualifications. I was told my statistics had no validity. Your readers will not be surprised that future Annual Reports left out such information, so no more invalid statistics could be culled, from which any reasonable person could conclude Audit Scotland covering-ups!

Dorset's Dirty Scum [Unedited]

Again interesting. I refer you to my letter dated 24 November 2000, and my reference to the local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985.

The inserted Sections 100A to K would be vital if police authorities are "relevant authorities":

Sub-Section 100C(1)(a), says that minutes shall be open to public inspection.

Sub-Section 100H(4) says that, without reasonable excuse, any person having custody who intentionally obstructs a person exercising a right under 100C(1), shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine, i.e. we are talking criminal, as I understand it. (complaint to police, etc)

Sub-Section 100G(3)(a), says that the offices of local authorities should have a written summary of the public's rights of attendance and access to documents. (Edinburgh did not have one, when I

enquired, after complaint to the Commissioner for Local Administration, they now have a summary.)

Further the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 at Section 5, requires every relevant authority to have a Monitoring Officer. Are English police authorities required to have Monitoring Officers? The Lothian and Borders Police Board have one!

The receptionist mentioned in VOMIT, was unlikely to be the person who has "custody" of the minutes. May I suggest that Julie Croley correspond with the Dorset Police Authority to establish their understanding of their legal position? I would like to speak to the Croleys, but you have never given their number out? **Antony Jack**

Comment: Major Jack is the man who should be Chief Constable of Dorset or Thames Valley or, better still, HM Chief Inspector of Constabulary for England and Wales.

THE LAND REGISTRY CHARLATANS

Blanda and John Masefield (Fax 01308 485729) have received a letter from a cow in Weymouth District Land Registry which states "As you do not own the land in the above titles, you do not have any standing to object to the registrations, which have been completed." Mr & Mrs Masefield have written a long letter dated 10 December to Chief Land Registrar Peter Collis. Collis will reply to the effect that all the matters have been considered and that there is nothing more to add. He will name the solicitor who has advised him with the intention of convincing the Masefields that he is being truthful. Here is what the Law Society had to say about a

solicitor who fled to India after fraud amounting to £9 million. "The Law Society's regulatory body admitted it was tipped off but said that it was snowed under by thousands of complaints and did not have the resources to investigate." Parliament is dominated by solicitors and barristers. How did Collis get this job? Who appointed him and why? Is he another Gargoyle Blair crony?

The treatment meted out to the Masefields by the Charity Commission, the Land Registry, West Dorset District Council, the Director General of Ordnance Survey and the courts is symptomatic of the malaise which has made the UK a Third World country.

LETTER VOMIT (Tuesday 12 December 2000)

To Peter Collis Phone 020 7917 5980 Chief Land Registrar and Chief Executive Fax 020 7917 5936

Dear Mr Collis,

I want no more nonsense from you and ask for a reply by return to a simple question.

The Orchard (Registered title BM111915) was unlawfully detached from the property at this address. You are asked again for the root to the title. Previously it was claimed that three trustees of the Combined Charities Trust (not the trust) had purchased the orchard around 1980 and had sold it early in 1988. One of the alleged buyers and vendors, Henry McCurrich, had no recollection, in 1990, of the purchase or sale of the orchard.

Yours faithfully, JAMES M TODD. Copied to the Prime Minister.>>>

Note: Every time we have raised questions about corrupt authorities we have been harassed by the police and bent solicitors acting for bent local government (councils). At other times we have been physically assaulted by thugs who were protected by the police.

Memo - Information sought on Karen Buck, MP, Capricorn, Icy Cools, Simon Elvin, Hearn, Hellmanns, Drugs, Kennedys, Hewdens.

Published by J M Todd/Misbourne Farmhouse/Amersham Road/Chalfont St Giles/Bucks. HP8 4RU

Per pro Vomit. No copyright. Tel 01494 871204. Fax 01494 870031

email vomituk@my-deja.com Web page www.vomit.cc